

Interpretation of Table:
JAIL POPULATIONS: 2nd Qtr Calendar Year '06 versus 2nd Qtr Calendar Year '07

This table:

- summarizes the ADP results for the 61 jurisdictions in California reporting data from Type II, III, and IV jails;
- summarizes jurisdiction ADP results for the most recent quarter (Column C);
- compares jurisdiction ADP for the most recent quarter with the same quarter last year (Column B);
- ranks the jurisdictions in terms of gains or losses in ADP from high to low (Column D);
- lists the percentage growth or decline in ADP for each jurisdiction (Column E);
- lists the percentage of the overall State increase or decrease in ADP that is represented by each jurisdiction (Column F);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative percentage increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the decrease (Column G);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative total increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the biggest decrease (Column H); and,
- lists the jurisdictions that experienced decreases in their ADP as shaded.

Some important conclusions from this table are:

1. The two numbers at the bottom indicate the "total increase" in ADP (in this case 3,562) and "total decrease" in ADP (-707). In other words, the jurisdictions experiencing increases had a total increase of 3,562 ADP; and the jurisdictions experiencing decreases had a total decrease of 707 ADP. Subtracting 707 from 3,562 produces the overall increase of 2,855 between the second quarter of calendar year 2006 and the second quarter of calendar year 2007.
2. The Los Angeles County ADP increase of 881 is 24.7% of the total increase of 3,562. Thirty-four jurisdictions had increases (down to Napa County Corrections) with no jurisdictions reporting no increase. When you get to Napa County Corrections, you have accounted for 100% of the increases (100% of the cumulative total of 3,562).
3. Jurisdictions that experienced a decrease in ADP are listed from smallest decrease to largest decrease (Sierra County Sheriff's Department to San Diego County Sheriff's Department). When you get to the bottom of the table, you have accounted for 100% of the total decreases of 707 inmates.
4. The cumulative percentage of ADP increase for the top four jurisdictions (Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department to Orange County Sheriff's Department) is 57.2%. In other words, four jurisdictions accounted for over 57% of the total ADP increase. Four jurisdictions (Monterey County Sheriff's Department, Santa Clara Probation, Fresno County Sheriff's Department and San Diego County Sheriff's Department) account for almost 57% of the decreases.